



Qibin Zhao<sup>1</sup>, Masashi Sugiyama<sup>1,2</sup>, Longhao Yuan<sup>1,4</sup>, Andrzej Cichocki<sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup>RIKEN Center for Advanced Intelligence Project, <sup>2</sup>The University of Tokyo, <sup>3</sup>Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology, <sup>4</sup>Saitama Institute of Technology

### Background

- Tensor decompositions and tensor networks aim to represent high-dimensional data by multilinear operations of latent factors.
- Canonical polyadic (CP) decomposition represents a tensor as the sum of rank-one tensors by  $\mathcal{O}(dnr)$  parameters, where d is the dimensions of tensor, n is the mode size, and r denotes the tensor rank.
- Tucker decomposition represents a tensor as a core tensor and several factor matrices by  $\mathcal{O}(dnr + r^d)$  parameters. Tensor train (TT) decomposition represents a tensor as a set of
- third-order tensors by  $\mathcal{O}(dnr^2)$  parameters.
- TT representation scales linearly to the tensor order as the CP model, and its solution can be easily computed as the Tucker model.
- Problems: TT has limited flexibility due to the rank  $r_1 = r_{d+1} = 1$ ; TT-ranks have a fixed pattern; Permutations of data yield inconsistency.

#### **Tensor Decompositions**<sup>*I*</sup>

CP decomposition:

$$\underline{\mathbf{X}} = \sum_{r=1}^{R} \lambda_r \mathbf{b}_r^{(1)} \circ \mathbf{b}_r^{(2)} \circ \mathbf{b}_r^{(3)} \circ \mathbf{b}_r^{(4)}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|c} I_4 \\ \hline I_1 \\ \hline \hline I_2 \\ \hline \hline I_3 \end{array} = \sum_{r=1}^R$$

'o' denotes the outer products of vectors, and R is CP-rank. Tucker decomposition:

$$\underline{\mathbf{X}} = \underline{\mathbf{G}} \times_1 \mathbf{B}^{(1)} \times_2 \mathbf{B}^{(2)} \times_3 \mathbf{B}^{(3)} \times_4 \mathbf{B}^{(4)}$$

 $\times_i$  denotes multilinear product on the *i*th mode.  $(R_1, R_2, R_3, R_4)$  are Tucker ranks.



## **Tensor Ring - Sequential SVDs**

Tensor ring (TR) decomposition can be performed by using sequential SVDs, which is called TR-SVD algorithm.

matrix

 $T_{\langle k \rangle}(\overline{i_1 \cdots i_k}, \overline{i_{k+1} \cdots i_d}) = \sum Z^{\leq k} \left(\overline{i_1 \cdots i_k}, \overline{\alpha_1 \alpha_{k+1}}\right) Z^{>k} \left(\overline{\alpha_1 \alpha_{k+1}}, \overline{i_{k+1} \cdots i_d}\right),$ 

# Learning Efficient Tensor Representations with Ring Structure Networks

## **Tensor Ring Decomposition**

$$\mathbf{F}_{2}$$

$$\mathbf{B}^{(2)}$$

$$\mathbf{F}_{2}$$

$$\mathbf{F}_{F$$

 $\mathsf{k-unfolding} \qquad T_{\langle k \rangle}(\overline{i_1 \cdots i_k}, \overline{i_{k+1} \cdots i_d}) = \mathsf{Tr} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^k \mathbf{Z}_j(i_j) \prod_{j=k+1}^d \mathbf{Z}_j(i_j) \right\} = \left\langle \mathsf{vec} \left( \prod_{j=1}^k \mathbf{Z}_j(i_j) \right), \mathsf{vec} \left( \prod_{j=d}^{k+1} \mathbf{Z}_j^T(i_j) \right) \right\rangle.$ 



 $T(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_d) = \operatorname{Tr} \{ \mathbf{Z}_1(i_1) \mathbf{Z}_2(i_2) \cdots \mathbf{Z}_d \}$ 

 $\mathbf{Z}_k(i_k)$  denotes  $i_k$ th slice matrix of core tensor  $\mathbf{Z}_k$ .

TR representation is equivalent to the sum of TTs with partially shared core tensors.

 $7^{(K \bigstar)}$  TR-ranks  $r_1 r_{k+1} \leq R_k$  where  $R_k$  is the rank of k-unfolding matricization of original tensor.

TResolution is invariant to circularly dimensional permutation.

# Tensor Ring - Stochastic Gradient Descent

For large-scale datasets, stochastic gradient descent (SGD) shows high computational efficiency and scalability for matrix/ tensor factorization.

We develop a scalable and efficient TR decomposition by using SGD, which is also suitable for online learning and tensor completion problems.

$$L(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_{1},\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_{2},\ldots,\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_{d}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i_{1},\ldots,i_{d}} \left\{ T(i_{1},i_{2},\ldots,i_{d}) - \operatorname{Tr}\left(\prod_{k=1}^{d} \mathbf{Z}_{k}(i_{k})\right) \right\}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{k} \|\mathbf{Z}_{k}(i_{k})\|^{2}$$
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{Z}_{k}(i_{k})} = -\left\{ T(i_{1},i_{2},\ldots,i_{d}) - \operatorname{Tr}\left(\prod_{k=1}^{d} \mathbf{Z}_{k}(i_{k})\right) \right\} \left(\prod_{j=1,j\neq k}^{d} \mathbf{Z}_{j}(i_{j})\right)^{T} + \lambda_{k} \mathbf{Z}_{k}(i_{k})$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{Z}_{1}, \mathcal{Z}_{2}, \dots, \mathcal{Z}_{d} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i_{1}, \dots, i_{d}} \left\{ T(i_{1}, i_{2}, \dots, i_{d}) - \operatorname{Tr} \left( \prod_{k=1}^{d} \mathbf{Z}_{k}(i_{k}) \right) \right\}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{k} \| \mathbf{Z}_{k}(i_{k}) \|^{2} \\ &\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{Z}_{k}(i_{k})} = - \left\{ T(i_{1}, i_{2}, \dots, i_{d}) - \operatorname{Tr} \left( \prod_{k=1}^{d} \mathbf{Z}_{k}(i_{k}) \right) \right\} \left( \prod_{j=1, j \neq k}^{d} \mathbf{Z}_{j}(i_{j}) \right)^{T} + \lambda_{k} \mathbf{Z}_{k}(i_{k}) \end{aligned}$$

## Block-Wise Alternating Least-Squares (ALS)

ALS is firstly applied to optimize the block of core tensors at each iteration.

The low-rank matrix decomposition can be employed to separate the block into two core tensors.

$$T(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_d) = \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d} Z_1(\alpha_1, i_1, \alpha_2) Z_2(\alpha_2, i_2, \alpha_3) \cdots Z_d(\alpha_d, i_d,$$
$$= \sum \left\{ Z_k(\alpha_k, i_k, \alpha_{k+1}) Z^{\neq k}(\alpha_{k+1}, \overline{i_{k+1} \cdots i_d i_1 \cdots i_{k-1}}, \alpha_k) \right\}$$

- A generalization of TT without limitation of rank  $r_1 = r_{d+1} = 1$ .

$$d(i_d)\} = \operatorname{Tr}\left\{\prod_{k=1}^{d} \mathbf{Z}_k(i_k)\right\}$$

 $\alpha_d(lpha_d,i_d,lpha_1)$ 



## **Experimental Results**

image under varying approximation error  $\epsilon$ .

Data	$\epsilon = 0.1$		$\epsilon = 0.01$		$\epsilon = 9e - 4$		$\epsilon = 2e - 15$	
n = 256, d = 2	SVD	TT/TR	SVD	TT/TR	SVD	TT/TR	SVD	TT/TR
	9.7e3	9.7e3	7.2e4	7.2e4	1.2e5	1.2e5	1.3e5	1.3e5
Tensorization	$\epsilon = 0.1$		$\epsilon = 0.01$		$\epsilon = 2e - 3$		$\epsilon = 1e - 14$	
	TT	TR	TT	TR	TT	TR	TT	TR
n = 16, d = 4	5.1e3	3.8e3	6.8e4	6.4e4	1.0e5	7.3e4	1.3e5	7.4e4
n = 4, d = 8	4.8e3	4.3e3	7.8e4	7.8e4	1.1e5	9.8e4	1.3e5	1.0e5
n = 2, d = 16	7.4e3	7.4e3	1.0e5	1.0e5	1.5e5	1.5e5	1.7e5	1.7e5

- more compact representation than TT representation.

An individual core tensor is corrupted by random disturbance



model parameters in deep neural networks.



## Summary

- compact representation for a very high-order tensor.
- A scalable SGD algorithm which is useful for large-scale tensors, online learning, and tensor completion.
- ► TR representation achieves much more compressive deep learning models compared to TT representation.





The number of parameters for tensor representation of an

Based on tensorization operations, TR decomposition is able to capture the intrinsic structure information and provides a

Each core tensor corresponds to a specific scale of resolution.

TR representation can be used for low-rank approximation of

► The model complexity can be compressed by 1300 times.

A novel tensor decomposition model which can provide an

Our monographs (2017)

ndations and Trends® in thine Learning 15

Tensor Networks for imensionality Reduction a Large-scale Optimization